```
(My June 2, 2020 response to BOD president after being informed that my letter had been forwarded to ARC chairman for a response ...)
```

```
Hi,
I can appreciate your confusion --
Aside, from interest in our new BOD's take on the HOA rationale behind
substantial increases to our live matter content over long-standing DG2000
levels despite predicted water shortages and fire risk for our community,
I was interested in BOD current awareness regarding ARC strategy potential
for perceived, very unnecessary water waste and fire fodder risk.
 (More than one resident cited "ARC-forced overplanting" among reasons for
relocating elsewhere after DG2017 was passed.)
My inputs to our new BOD hoped to increase general HOA awareness, regarding
personally observed, ongoing failure for ARC landscape project strategy to
enlist the readily available plant growth data deemed useful for supporting
minimal irrigation use and reducing fire fodder risk by SCLH residents ....
-- It should not be necessary, to initiate formal changes to Design
Guidelines for correcting perceived 'worksheet data oversight'?
>
The ARC landscape project worksheets could be enhanced fairly easily, imho,
to take advantage of expected plant growth specifics, facilitating effective
and efficient landscape designs while meeting all DG goals.
>
>
Addressing these concerns and suggestions with the 2018 ARC team during my
own landscape project, fell flat (see web links in BOD letter)
-- hence, my "Who is Minding the Store?", elevated query to our new BOD.
>
```

(End of communication)